

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/668,086	Applicant(s) KIM ET AL.	
	Examiner UMAR CHEEMA	Art Unit 2444	

All Participants:

(1) UMAR CHEEMA.

(2) Eliot Malamud (Reg. No. 51989).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 June 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-30

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to Applicant's representative Mr. Eliot Malamud (Reg. No. 51989) with the approval of SPE William Vaughn, about the potential amendment in order to allow the case. Applicant's represatitave agreed upon proposal amendments and authorized examiner's amendments via email to examiner.